

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/617,489	CANTOR, THOMAS L.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jacob Cheu	1641	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jacob Cheu.

(2) Mr. Peng Chen.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 3 August 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner appreciated that Mr. Chen took time in reviewing the tremendous amount of IDS information filed on 7/7/2005, 5/19/2005 and 4/18/2005; 12/14/2004.. Particularly, Mr. Chen reviewed the summary of court decision on Nichol's v. Scantibodies, and the pending litigation on Scantibodies v. Immunotopics. Mr. Chen also pointed out the pertinent, closest references for examiner to consider. Examiner would thank Mr. Chen's time and patience..